

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/781,162	SATO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Amea A. Shah	3625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Amea A. Shah.

(3) _____

(2) John Magluyan.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 July 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed Examiner's amendments to claim 1 to change "apparatuses" in line 9 of claim 1 to --apparatus-- and change the title to Method, system and medium for remotely managing plural image forming apparatuses and plural types of maintenance agreements relating to the apparatuses. Mr. Magluyan confirmed that the changes were acceptable..